

-2023 BOUT++ Workshop, Livermore, California, USA

The simulation of ELMs suppression by ion cyclotron resonance heating in EAST using BOUT++

Yanlong Li¹, Tianyang Xia¹, Minyou Ye², Xiaolan Zou³, Xinjun Zhang¹, Chu Zhou², Shifeng Mao², Bin Gui¹, Guanghai Hu¹, and EAST team¹

¹Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, People's Republic of China ²University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, People's Republic of China ³CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France

January 12, 2023



- Experimental background
- Simulation results
 - □ Effect of pedestal structure on ELM
 - □ Effect of RF sheath on ELM
- > Summary

First observation of ELM suppression by ICRH in EAST

In June 2018, the phenomenon that ELMs are completely suppressed by ICRH during H mode, was first observed in EAST^[1]. However, due to the complexity of the experimental environment, the mechanism of ELM suppression by ICRH is still not very clear.



> Experiment:

- ✓ During ICRH, ELMs are suppressed, and pedestal coherent mode is enhanced;
- \checkmark Stored energy has a small increase.

≻ Goal:

Reveal the key physical mechanism of ELM suppression by ICRH, and contribute to the ELM control.

[1] X. J. Zhang, et al, Sci. China-Phys. Mech. Astron. 2022. 3





> Experimental background

Simulation results

□ Effect of pedestal structure on ELM

□ Effect of RF sheath on ELM

> Summary



Simulation setup



Simulation region:

 $0.8 < \psi < 1.05$, covering the pedestal and SOL.

> During ICRH:

 T_{e0} and n_0 increase, and the pedestal structure is changed.

- Based on the P-B model, including non-ideal physics effects:
 - Diamagnetic effect

 - ↔ Resistivity

•••••••

↔ Hyper-resistivity

Pedestal located outside the P-B boundary

➤ ELITE analysis:

>BOUT++ linear:



Little impact of the pedestal structure on ELM

>BOUT++ nonlinear:



• Ratio of ELM energy loss (ELM size):

$$\Delta_{_{ELM}}^{^{3D}}(t) = \frac{3/2 \int_{\psi_{in}}^{\psi_{out}} d\psi \oint J d\theta d\zeta (P_0 - \langle P(t) \rangle_{\zeta})}{3/2 P_{ped} V_{plasma}}$$

• Electric field —— flow balance:

$$E_{flow} = \frac{\nabla P_{i0}}{n_0 Z_i e}$$

- ◆ Before ICRH: △_{ELM} ~ 3.4%;
 During ICRH: △_{ELM} ~ 2.1%;
 ➡ Relatively large ELM
- The change of pedestal structure has little impact on ELM suppression.







Experimental background

Simulation results

□ Effect of pedestal structure on ELM

□ Effect of RF sheath on ELM

> Summary



RF sheath model

> Physical model^[1]:



Smoothly connect the flow-balanced E_r and the RF sheath E_r through the separatrix.

[1] Gui, B., et al. (2018). Nuclear Fusion 58(2).

RF sheath – key factor of ELM suppression

Linear growth rate:

> ELM size:



The RF sheath can reduce the linear growth rate, especially for low-n mode;
 ELM size is reduced from 2.1% to 0.36%, indicating that RF sheath plays a key role in the ELM suppression by ICRH.

Break large-scale filaments into small-scale turbulence

> Poloidal cross section:



> Schematic Diagram:



The larger E×B shear rate induced by RF sheath breaks up the original large-scale filaments into small-scale turbulence, which can suppress ELM.

11



Reduce amplitude, suppress radial expansion

> Mode structure of pressure:



□ With RF sheath, the amplitude is about half smaller;

□ With RF sheath, the radial expansion is suppressed effectively.

More high-n modes appear and stronger mode coupling

> Perturbed pressure:



> Bi-spectral analysis:



mode coupling in the E_{rf} case.

The window exists for ELM suppression by ICRH

> Scan of RF sheath potential:

> Window of ELM suppression:



A small sheath potential window exists for the ELM suppression by ICRH;
 ω_{E×B} too small: ballooning mode is dominant, and ELM can't be suppressed well;
 ω_{E×B} too large: peeling mode is triggered, and lead to a large ELM crash.

Validation between simulation and experiment

 \succ U measured by DBS:

0.95

 ρ

> Experiment analysis:



□ Relationship: when RF sheath potential increases, ELM is effectively suppressed; **DBS:** During ICRH, the shear velocity (U_1) in SOL obviously increases, which is consistent with the simulation result.

Shots:

#77741

#77743

#77730

1

Validation between simulation and experiment



Statistical analysis of experiments:

- □ A positive correlation between *E*×*B* shear rate in SOL and RF sheath potential;
- □ The lower limit of RF sheath potential was found for ELM suppression;
- Due to the limitation of experiments, the upper limit has not been observed.



Outline

Experimental background

Simulation results

□ Effect of pedestal structure on ELM

□ Effect of RF sheath on ELM

> Summary



Summary

Phenomenon: ELM can be suppressed by ICRH in EAST; Little impact of pedestal structure: $\Delta_{\text{ELM}} \sim 3.4\% \rightarrow 2.1\%$; Key factor – RF sheath: $\Delta_{\text{ELM}} \sim 2.1\% \rightarrow 0.36\%$;

The impact of RF sheath:

- Reduce the linear growth rate and perturbed amplitude;
- Larger E×B shear flow, break up the large-scale filaments;
- Stronger nonlinear mode coupling;

Scan the RF sheath potential:

- > A small window of $\omega_{E\times B}$ exists for full ELM suppression by ICRH;
- $\succ \omega_{E \times B}$ small: ballooning mode; $\omega_{E \times B}$ large: peeling mode;
- > The existence of window has been validated in the experiments.



Thank You!

BACKUP SLICES

Why no ICRF heating effect is observed?





- Old ICRH: the heating effect of the ICRH is not obvious, and the ion temperature has little change.
- **New ICRH:** with smaller $k_{\parallel} \sim 7.5 \text{ m}^{-1}$, has a much higher coupling loading. The coupling loading and heating efficiency of the new ICRH are ~ 3-7 times greater than the old. 21



Simulation results of the CM



Ni	Simulation	Experiment
Frequency(kHz)	16.34	15 - 20
k _θ (rad cm⁻¹)	0.36	0.3 - 0.5

- □ The simulated CM: $f \sim 16.34 \text{ kHz}$, $k_{\theta} \sim 0.36 \text{ cm}^{-1}$;
- **□** Results are consistent with the experiment.

Effect of CM on the ELM suppression



□ The strong correlation between CM intensity and ELM size;

- □ There is a threshold value of CM intensity for ELM suppression;
- □ The simulation is consistent with the experiment;
- □ There is a stronger mode coupling with CM.

[Y.L. Li et al 2022 Nucl. Fusion 62 066018]



The effect of the impurity

The vorticity equation with background impurity is modified to

 $\boldsymbol{b}_0 - \boldsymbol{b}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\psi},$

b

=

 $J_{\parallel 1} \qquad = \qquad -\frac{1}{\mu_0} B_0 \nabla_{\perp}^2 \psi.$



Ø

The effect of the impurity

The background impurity can stabilize the ballooning mode

The effects of background impurity (carbon): can be treated as the change of mass density.



If w/ both diamagnetic effects and gyro-viscosity, the growth rate for whole n is stabilized by impurity by ~12%, more effectively. If the density profiles is kept unchanged

The effects of impurity: decreasing the low-n ballooning modes by ~14%.





The more impurities, the smaller the growth rate; 25



Physical mechanism of ELM suppression by ICRH:



- Y.L. Li, T.Y Xia, X.L. Zou, et al. 2022, Nucl. Fusion, 62 066043, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ac4efd
- Y.L. Li, T.Y Xia, X.L. Zou, et al. 2022, Nucl. Fusion, 62 066018, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ac5449
- X. J. Zhang, C. Zhou, X. L. Zou, T. Y. Xia, Y. L. Li, et al. 2022, *Sci. China-Phys. Mech. Astron.* 65 235211, DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-021-1817-8</u>

Email: yanlong.li@ipp.ac.cn